

**TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2001**  
**SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2000 - MARCH 2001)**

**Data Prepared by the Evaluation Section  
Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division  
Budget, Analysis and Financial Management Administration  
Michigan Family Independence Agency**

**October 2001**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 00-01 (i.e., October 2000 through March 2001) and is comprised of thirteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 463 new participants entered the program.
- 30.9% of the participants were referred to the program by their local FIA offices.
- In terms of race/ethnicity,
  - 57.3% of the participants were African American.
  - 33.0% of the participants were white.
  - 6.1% of the participants were Hispanic.
  - 1.3% of the participants were Native American.
  - 0.7% of the participants were Asian.
- The average age of the participants was 17.61 years.
- 95.4% of the participants were single.
- 48.8% of the participants were pregnant upon entering the program, with 93.2% of those receiving prenatal care at that time.
- 51.2% of the teens were parenting, with 84.1% of them parenting one child, 13.4% parenting two children, 2.2% parenting three children, and 0.4% parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 9.9.
- At the time of entering the program,
  - 58.7% of the participants were enrolled in school.
  - 7.3% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
  - 2.1% of the participants were GED holders.
  - 8.2% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 21.0% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 23.3 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.08.
- 93.0% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement. Similarly, 79.5% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.

# TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

## Fiscal Year 2001

### Six Month Update

#### October 2000 - March 2001

---

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 00-01. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2001, namely, October 2000 through March 2001.

The program continues to operate via twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties being served by the program include Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo<sup>1</sup>, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Ogemaw, Oakland, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

A new three-year contract, which began March 2001, was awarded to the sites in February 2001. As a result of the RFQ process, the contracts for the following four counties were awarded to new service providers: Berrien, Jackson, Newaygo, and Wayne (one new provider).

## PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

**Table 1** presents the total number of clients who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2000, and March 31, 2001. During this six-month period, 463 new clients entered the program.

**Table 1**  
**NUMBER OF CLIENTS**

NUMBER OF CLIENTS	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Number of Clients Entering the Program During the Month	92	74	65	79	63	90	463	463	974

---

<sup>1</sup> As of this reporting, the program associated with Kalamazoo County had not yet reported information for evaluation purposes.

**Table 2** identifies the sources responsible for referring the clients to the program. Referrals received from the Family Independence Agency (FIA) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 30.9% (143) of the referrals during this six month period were from the FIA. This was followed by 23.8% (110) of the referrals coming from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), and 16.8% (85) coming from schools. The remaining 28.5% of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care providers, public/community health agencies, community agencies, and mental health agencies.

**Table 2**  
**REFERRAL SOURCE**

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
FIA	28	20	19	22	27	27	143 (30.9%)	143 (30.9%)	336 (34.6%)
Health Care Provider	2	6	6	11	4	8	37 (8.0%)	37 (8.0%)	73 (7.5%)
Public/Community Health	3	8	5	9	10	9	44 (9.5%)	44 (9.5%)	131 (13.5%)
Community Agency	8	12	9	4	3	12	48 (10.4%)	48 (10.4%)	84 (8.7%)
Mental Health	0	2	0	1	0	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	4 (0.4%)
School	22	14	9	11	8	14	78 (16.8%)	78 (16.8%)	118 (12.2%)
Other <sup>2</sup>	29	12	17	21	11	20	110 (23.8%)	110 (23.8%)	235 (23.2%)
TOTALS	92	74	65	79	63	90	463 (100.0%)	463 (100.0%)	971 (100.0%) <sup>3</sup>
Missing <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

<sup>2</sup> "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, guardian, partner, word of mouth, another program participant, was a former program participant, the TPP agency, court system, probation officer, transitional living program, Detroit Rescue Mission, "Strong Families Safe Children", In-Patient Substance Abuse Unit, HUD follow-up, Yellow Pages, Comcast Cable, etc.

<sup>3</sup> In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

<sup>4</sup> Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

## PART II: CLIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 3** presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of clients entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2001. Accordingly, 57.3% (264) of the individuals were African American, 33.0% (152) were white, 6.1% (28) were Hispanic, 1.3% (6) were Native American, and 0.7% (3) were Asian. The “other” responses served to identify eight individuals as multi-racial.

**Table 3**  
**RACE/ETHNICITY**

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
White	21	27	24	34	25	21	152 (33.0%)	152 (33.0%)	313 (32.4%)
African American	61	38	33	39	32	61	264 (57.3%)	264 (57.3%)	562 (58.2%)
Native American	1	0	0	2	2	1	6 (1.3%)	6 (1.3%)	19 (2.0%)
Hispanic	8	6	6	2	2	4	28 (6.1%)	28 (6.1%)	59 (6.1%)
Asian	0	1	0	1	0	1	3 (0.7%)	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.1%)
Other	1	1	1	1	2	2	8 (1.7%)	8 (1.7%)	12 (1.2%)
TOTALS	92	73	64	79	63	90	461 (100.0%)	461 (100.0%)	966 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	8

**Table 4** displays the age distribution of clients entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2001, with the overall average age being 17.61 years. For those clients entering the program during the months of October, November, and December 2000, age was calculated as of December 31, 2000, with the average age being 17.58 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of January, February, and March 2001, age was calculated as of March 31, 2001, with the average age being 17.62 years.

**Table 4**  
**AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS**

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Twelve	1	0	0	0	0	1	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	3 (0.3%)
Thirteen	1	0	0	0	2	0	3 (0.7%)	3 (0.7%)	9 (0.9%)
Fourteen	3	1	1	2	1	3	11 (2.4%)	11 (2.4%)	42 (4.4%)
Fifteen	6	6	4	6	7	8	37 (8.2%)	37 (8.2%)	99 (10.3%)
Sixteen	22	17	11	10	18	16	94 (20.8%)	94 (20.8%)	182 (19.0%)
Seventeen	20	25	18	18	13	24	118 (26.1%)	118 (26.1%)	268 (28.0%)
Eighteen	18	8	22	28	11	18	105 (23.2%)	105 (23.2%)	178 (18.6%)
Nineteen	15	13	5	9	9	15	66 (14.6%)	66 (14.6%)	140 (14.6%)
Twenty	3	3	1	6	0	3	16 (3.5%)	16 (3.5%)	37 (3.9%)
TOTALS	89	73	62	79	61	88	452 (100.0%)	452 (100.0%)	958 (100.0%)
Missing	3	1	3	0	2	2	11	11	16

**Table 5** displays the marital status of the clients. Accordingly, 95.4% (433) were single, and 4.2% (19) were married. The “other” response served to identify two individuals (0.4%) as engaged.

Of the nineteen individuals who were married, fourteen were white, two were African American, and three were Native American. In terms of age, five were sixteen years old or younger, two were seventeen years old, and eleven were eighteen years old or older.

**Table 5**  
**MARITAL STATUS**

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Single	90	70	59	76	58	80	433 (95.4%)	433 (95.4%)	928 (96.5%)
Married	1	2	4	3	4	5	19 (4.2%)	19 (4.2%)	32 (3.3%)
Other	1	0	1	0	0	0	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)
TOTALS	92	72	64	79	62	85	454 (100.0%)	454 (100.0%)	962 (100.0%)
Missing	0	2	1	0	1	5	9	9	12

### PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

**Table 6** reveals the number of clients who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant **and** parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 41.4% (191) were pregnant, 51.2% (236) were parenting, and 7.4% (34) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

**Table 6**  
**PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS**

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Pregnant	39	31	22	39	27	33	191 (41.4%)	191 (41.4%)	409 (42.3%)
Parenting	44	37	38	32	33	52	236 (51.2%)	236 (51.2%)	504 (52.1%)
Pregnant and Parenting	8	5	5	8	3	5	34 (7.4%)	34 (7.4%)	55 (5.7%)
TOTALS	91	73	65	79	63	90	461 (100.0%)	461 (100.0%)	968 (100.0%)
Missing	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	6

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 93.2% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 6A** below:

**Table 6A**  
**PRENATAL CARE**

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	46	34	23	42	25	35	205 (93.2%)	205 (93.2%)	419 (93.7%)
No	1	1	3	5	4	1	15 (6.8%)	15 (6.8%)	28 (6.3%)
TOTALS	47	35	26	47	29	36	220 (100.0%)	220 (100.0%)	447 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	1	0	1	2	5	5	17



In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further broken down in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 6B and 6C. With respect to ages of the children, 77.5% (244) were one year or younger, 11.4% (35) were two years old, 5.7% (18) were three years old, 3.5% (11) were four years old, and 2.2% (7) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 6B**, 84.1% (195) of those parenting had one child, 13.4% (31) had two children, 2.2% (5) had three children, and 0.4% (1) had four children.

**Table 6B**  
**OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	33	31	33	28	29	41	195 (84.1%)	195 (84.1%)	441 (88.2%)
Two	8	5	4	3	2	9	31 (13.4%)	31 (13.4%)	51 (10.4%)
Three	3	0	0	1	1	0	5 (2.2%)	5 (2.2%)	6 (1.2%)
Four	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)
TOTALS	44	36	37	32	32	51	232 (100.0%)	232 (100.0%)	499 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	1	0	1	1	4	4	5

Similarly, **Table 6C** reveals that 85.3% (29) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 11.8% (4) had two children, and 2.9% (1) had three children.

**Table 6C**  
**OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	8	3	3	8	2	5	29 (85.3%)	29 (85.3%)	48 (87.3%)
Two	0	1	2	0	1	0	4 (11.8%)	4 (11.8%)	5 (9.1%)
Three	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (2.9%)	1 (2.9%)	2 (3.6%)
TOTALS	8	5	5	8	3	5	34 (100.0%)	34 (100.0%)	55 (100.0%)

## **PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

**Tables 7 and 8** reveal the clients' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by clients upon entering the program was 9.9.

### **A. School**

The 259 individuals enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Twenty-two individuals were in both school and GED training.
- Three individuals had a GED certificate.
- Six teens had a high school diploma.
- Forty-one teens were working and going to school (including four who were also in GED training, and two who also had diplomas).
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.7.
- In terms of age, 42.2% were sixteen years old or younger, 30.1% were seventeen years old, and 27.7% were eighteen years old or older.

The 182 individuals who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirty teens had a high school diploma.
- Six participants had a GED certificate.
- Ten individuals were in GED training.
- Forty-nine teens were employed (including six who had their diploma, one who was in GED training, and one who had a GED certificate).
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.0.
- In terms of age, 18.3% were sixteen years old or younger, 21.1% were seventeen years old, and 60.6% were eighteen years old or older.

Of the twenty-two cases for whom information about school enrollment was missing, twenty-one were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. The remaining case, while missing information about school enrollment and other educational activities, did indicate employment.

### **B. GED Training**

Of the thirty-two individuals in GED training, twenty-two were also in school and five were working (including four who were also attending school). In terms of age, 12.5% were sixteen years old or younger, 25.0% were seventeen years old, and 62.5% were eighteen years old or older.

### **C. GED Certificate**

Nine individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, three of whom were continuing their education and four of whom were working.

### **D. High School Diploma**

The thirty-six individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Six teens were continuing their education (including two who were also working).
- Fourteen teens were working, including two who were also continuing her education.

The 404 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 253 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-two teens were in GED training (including twenty-two who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Nine teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Seventy-six individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

---

For 100 individuals, or 21.6% of those who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2001, negative responses were received for each question regarding education and employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school or GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 26.5% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 26.5% were seventeen years old, and 46.9% were eighteen years old or older.

---

**Table 7**  
**EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE**

CLIENT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
A. Was the client in school at intake?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	58	42	30	44	41	44	259 (58.7%)	259 (58.7%)	538 (57.4%)
No	30	30	30	35	18	39	182 (41.3%)	182 (41.3%)	400 (42.6%)
TOTALS (Missing)	88 (4)	72 (2)	60 (5)	79	59 (4)	83 (7)	441 (22) (100.0%)	441 (22) (100.0%)	938 (36) (100.0%)
B. Was the client in GED training?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	00 YTD	99 Total
Yes	13	0	8	4	3	4	32 (7.3%)	32 (7.3%)	58 (6.2%)
No	75	72	52	75	56	76	406 (92.7%)	406 (92.7%)	884 (93.8%)
TOTALS (Missing)	88 (4)	72 (2)	60 (5)	79	59 (4)	80 (10)	438 (25) (100.0%)	438 (25) (100.0%)	942 (32) (100.0%)
C. Did the client have a GED?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	00 YTD	99 Total
Yes	3	0	1	3	0	2	9 (2.1%)	9 (2.1%)	8 (0.8%)
No	85	72	59	76	59	79	430 (97.9%)	430 (97.9%)	937 (99.2%)
TOTALS (Missing)	88 (4)	72 (2)	60 (5)	79	59 (4)	81 (9)	439 (24) (100.0%)	439 (24) (100.0%)	945 (29) (100.0%)
D. Did the client have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	00 YTD	99 Total
Yes	6	6	3	7	4	10	36 (8.2%)	36 (8.2%)	62 (6.6%)
No	82	66	57	72	55	72	404 (91.8%)	404 (91.8%)	883 (93.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	88 (4)	72 (2)	60 (5)	79	59 (4)	82 (8)	440 (23) (100.0%)	440 (23) (100.0%)	945 (29) (100.0%)

**Table 8** indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 21.0%, or 91 individuals, had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 79.0% (342) of the individuals were unemployed.

**Table 8**  
**EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

WAS THE CLIENT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	19	21	16	12	8	15	91 (21.0%)	91 (21.0%)	191 (20.4%)
No	69	48	43	67	50	65	342 (79.0%)	342 (79.0%)	745 (79.6%)
TOTALS	88	69	59	79	58	80	433 (100.0%)	433 (100.0%)	936 (100.0%)
Missing	4	5	6	0	5	10	30	30	38

Of the ninety-one (91) teens who were employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 23.3 and the average hourly wage was \$6.08. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.25 years. Furthermore,

- Fourteen individuals had a high school diploma (two of whom were also continuing their education).
- Four teens had a GED.
- Five teens were in GED training (four of whom were also identified as enrolled in school).
- Forty-one individuals were in school (four of whom were also in GED training and two of whom had a diploma).
- Thirty-two teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED. In addition, for one employed teen, information about education was missing.

The 342 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 213 were enrolled in school (including eighteen who were also in GED training, four who had a high school diploma, and four who had a GED certificate).
- Twenty-seven teens were in GED training (eighteen of whom were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twenty-two individuals had a high school diploma (four of whom were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate (three of whom were also identified as continuing their education).

## PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Table 9**, on the following page, presents the clients' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 57.3% of the individuals who entered the program during the first six months of FY00-01 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 11.7% living with other relative(s), and 8.1% living independently. The remaining 22.9% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

**Table 10**, on page 16, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 74.1% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 59.8% of those aged seventeen and 44.0% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 93.0% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement. Similarly, 79.5% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 9 and Table 10, "other" responses given included living with: friend, family friend, non-relative, moves from friend to friend, w/partner in client's Mother's home, shelter, teen living center, pregnant and parenting teen residence, transitional housing, etc.

**Table 9**  
**LIVING ARRANGEMENT**

WHAT WAS THE CLIENT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY01 YTD	FY00 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
w/Parents	51	41	31	49	43	45	260 (57.3%)	260 (57.3%)	559 (58.2%)
w/Guardian	2	1	5	2	2	7	19 (4.2%)	19 (4.2%)	36 (3.7%)
w/Other relative	15	10	9	5	7	7	53 (11.7%)	53 (11.7%)	127 (13.2%)
w/Partner	4	2	3	7	4	6	26 (5.7%)	26 (5.7%)	55 (5.7%)
w/Spouse	1	0	1	1	2	2	7 (1.5%)	7 (1.5%)	16 (1.7%)
Formal placement	3	1	1	1	0	4	10 (2.2%)	10 (2.2%)	21 (2.2%)
Independently	6	6	7	4	3	11	37 (8.1%)	37 (8.1%)	56 (5.8%)
Homeless	1	3	1	0	0	0	5 (1.1%)	5 (1.1%)	7 (0.7%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	2	4	5	6	0	3	20 (4.4%)	20 (4.4%)	39 (4.1%)
Other	6	4	1	4	1	1	17 (3.7%)	17 (3.7%)	45 (4.7%)
TOTALS	91	72	64	79	62	86	454 (100.0%)	454 (100.0%)	961 (100.0%)
Missing	1	2	1	0	1	4	9	9	13



**Table 10**  
**AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT<sup>5</sup>**

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	FIRST SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 00-01				FY01 YTD %	FY00 TOTAL %
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
w/Parents	74.1	59.8	44.0	57.9 (257)	57.9 (257)	57.9 (547)
w/Guardian	5.6	5.1	2.2	4.1 (18)	4.1 (18)	3.9 (36)
w/Other relative	8.4	13.7	13.0	11.7 (52)	11.7 (52)	13.3 (126)
w/Partner	2.1	4.3	9.2	5.6 (25)	5.6 (25)	5.7 (54)
w/Spouse	0.7	0.0	3.3	1.6 (7)	1.6 (7)	1.7 (16)
Formal placement	4.2	0.9	1.6	2.3 (10)	2.3 (10)	2.2 (21)
Independently	0.7	5.1	14.7	7.7 (34)	7.7 (34)	5.9 (56)
Homeless	0.7	1.7	1.1	1.1 (5)	1.1 (5)	0.7 (7)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1.4	6.0	6.0	4.5 (20)	4.5 (20)	4.0 (38)
Other	2.1	3.4	4.9	3.6 (16)	3.6 (16)	4.7 (44)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (143)	100.0 (117)	100.0 (184)	100.0 (444)	100.0 (444)	100.0 (945)

<sup>5</sup> For the first six months of fiscal year 2000-2001, there were nineteen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY 99-00, there were twenty-nine individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.